

JOURNAL OF COMBINATORIAL THEORY, Series B 27, 225–227 (1979)

A Short Proof of the Existence of Highly Chromatic Hypergraphs without Short Cycles

JAROSLAV NEŠETŘIL AND VOJTĚCH RÖDL

KZAA MFF UK, Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8, Czechoslovakia

Communicated by the Editors

Received June 18, 1976

Using the operation of amalgamation we prove that for every k, n, p there exists a k -graph G (i.e., a k -uniform hypergraph) without cycles of length $\leq p$ such that the chromatic number of G is at least n .

INTRODUCTION

The existence of highly chromatic graphs and hypergraphs is a combinatorial classic: It started with Tutte and Zykov in the 1940's [1, 9] and it ended in the 1960's with probabilistic proof of Erdős [2] and Erdős and Hajnal [3] and with a construction of Lovász [4]. The purpose of this note is to give a simple proof of the latter construction, which we state as

THEOREM. *For all positive integers k, n, p there exists a k -graph (X, \mathcal{M}) such that*

- (1) (X, \mathcal{M}) does not contain a cycle of length $\leq p$;
- (2) $\chi(X, \mathcal{M}) \geq n$.

Here a cycle of length p in (X, \mathcal{M}) is a sequence M_1, \dots, M_p of different edges of \mathcal{M} such that there are different vertices

$$x_i \in M_i \cap M_{i+1} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}, x_p \in M_1 \cap M_p.$$

Our proof uses systematically the operation of amalgamation of hypergraphs (defined below) and it may be viewed as an extension of Tutte's idea [1]. Let us remark that (again, as in Lovász [4]) to prove the existence of highly chromatic graphs without short cycles we have to use the same theorem for hypergraphs. There exists no "purely" graph-theoretical proof of this fact (see [5, 8]).

The present proof arose in the study of Ramsey properties of hypergraphs

([6], where the notion of an a -partite hypergraph is introduced) and, implicitly, it is contained in our paper on lattices [7].

PRELIMINARIES

Let $X_i, i \in [1, a] = \{1, 2, \dots, a\}$ be disjoint nonvoid sets, $\bigcup_{i=1}^a X_i = X$. An a -partite k -graph is a couple $((X_i; i \in [1, a]), \mathcal{M})$, where

- (1) (X, \mathcal{M}) is a k -graph (i.e., $M \in \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow |M| = k$);
- (2) $|M \cap X_i| \leq 1$ for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $i \in [1, a]$.

X_i is called the i th parameter. We shall abbreviate $(X_i; i \in [1, a])$ as (X_i) . Let $((X_i), \mathcal{M})$ be an a -partite k -graph, $|X_r| = K$, for a fixed $r \in [1, a]$. Let (Y, \mathcal{N}) be a K -graph. Denote by $(Y, \mathcal{N}) * ((X_i), \mathcal{M}) = ((X'_i), \mathcal{M}')$ the a -partite k -graph defined by: $X'_r = Y$, $X'_i = X_i \times \mathcal{N}$ for $i \neq r$; $M' \in \mathcal{M}'$ if and only if there exist $N \in \mathcal{N}$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $M' \cap X'_r = \iota_N(M \cap X_r)$, $M' \cap X'_i = (M \cap X_i, N)$ for $i \neq r$, where $\iota_N: X_r \rightarrow N$ is for every $N \in \mathcal{N}$ a fixed bijection. The edge M' will be denoted by (M, N) .

Consequently $(Y, \mathcal{N}) * ((X_i), \mathcal{M})$ arises by considering $|\mathcal{N}|$ identical copies of $((X_i), \mathcal{M})$ and then identifying the copies of X_r with the edges of (Y, \mathcal{N}) . This operation is clearly an amalgamation of a very special type.

Proof of the theorem. For fixed n, k we prove the theorem by induction on p . For $p = 1$ the statement of Theorem is true as we have no restriction on cycles. Let $p > 1$ and let the statement of the theorem be true for every $1 \leq p' < p$. Put $a = (k - 1)n + 1$ and let $((X_i^1), \mathcal{M}^1)$ be such an a -partite k -graph that for every $\omega \subseteq [1, a]$, $|\omega| = k$, there exists an edge $M \in \mathcal{M}^1$, $M \cap X_i^1 \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in \omega$. Obviously $((X_i^1), \mathcal{M}^1)$ may be taken without cycles of length $\leq p$ (in fact it may be taken without cycles at all).

Define inductively a -partite k -graphs $((X_i^t), \mathcal{M}^t)$, $t \in [2, a + 1]$ as follows: Let $((X_i^{t-1}), \mathcal{M}^{t-1})$ be given. Put $|X_{t-1}^{t-1}| = K_{t-1}$ and let $(Y^{t-1}, \mathcal{N}^{t-1})$ be a K_{t-1} graph without cycles of length $\leq p - 1$ and with the chromatic number $\geq n$. (Such a hypergraph exists by the induction hypothesis.) Put $((X_i^t), \mathcal{M}^t) = (Y^{t-1}, \mathcal{N}^{t-1}) * ((X_i^{t-1}), \mathcal{M}^{t-1})$ and $((X_i), \mathcal{M}) = ((X^{a+1}), \mathcal{M}^{a+1})$. $((X_i), \mathcal{M})$ (more precisely $(\bigcup_{i=1}^a X_i, \mathcal{M})$) is the desired k -graph.

CLAIM 1. $((X_i^j), \mathcal{M}^j)$ does not contain cycles of length $\leq p$ for every $j \in [1, a + 1]$.

Proof. is simple by induction on j : If $((X_i^j), \mathcal{M}^j)$ does not contain a cycle of length $\leq p$ and $((X_i^{j+1}), \mathcal{M}^{j+1})$ does contain such a cycle $C = \{M_1, \dots, M_q\}$, $q \leq p$, then the edges M_1, \dots, M_q are of the form $(M'_1, N_1), \dots, (M'_q, N_q)$ and (1) not all N_1, \dots, N_q are equal, (2) not all N_1, \dots, N_q are different—that

follows from the definition of $((X_i^{j+1}), \mathcal{M}^{j+1})$. As C is a cycle, N_1, \dots, N_q contains also a cycle in (Y^i, \mathcal{N}^i) of length $\leq q - 1$. This is a contradiction.

CLAIM 2. $\chi((X_i), \mathcal{M}) > n$.

Proof. Let $c: \bigcup_{i=1}^a X_i = X \rightarrow [1, n]$ be a fixed coloring. By the definition of $((X_i), \mathcal{M}) = ((X_i^{a+1}), \mathcal{M}^{a+1}) = (Y^a, \mathcal{N}^a) * ((X_i^a), \mathcal{M}^a)$ there exists an $N^a \in \mathcal{N}^a$ such that $|c(N^a)| = 1$. Consider the coloring (induced by c) of the set $(X_{a-1}^a; N^a) = \{(x, N^a); x \in X_{a-1}^a\}$. As $((X_i^a), \mathcal{M}^a) = (Y_i^{a-1}, \mathcal{N}^{a-1}) * ((X_i^{a-1}), \mathcal{M}^{a-1})$ there exists a set $N^{a-1} \subseteq X_{a-1}^a$, $N^{a-1} \in \mathcal{N}^{a-1}$, such that $|c(N^{a-1}; N^a)| = 1$. Next consider the coloring of the set $(X_{a-1}^{a-1}; N^{a-1}, N^a) = \{(x, N^{a-1}, N^a); x \in X_{a-1}^{a-1}\}$. Again we find a set $N^{a-2} \in \mathcal{N}^{a-2}$ such that $|c(N^{a-2}; N^{a-1}, N^a)| = 1$. By this procedure we find, subsequently, for every $i \in [1, a]$, an edge $N^i \in \mathcal{N}^i$ such that $|c(N^i; N^{i+1}, \dots, N^a)| = 1$. Put $Z_i = (N^i; N^{i+1}, \dots, N^a)$, and observe $Z_i \subseteq X_i$. Moreover, by the induction definition of $((X_i), \mathcal{M})$, the subhypergraph of $((X_i), \mathcal{M})$ generated by the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^a Z_i$ contains an isomorphic copy of $((X_i^1), \mathcal{M}^1)$. This leads to a contradiction as (by choice of a) there exists $\omega \subseteq [1, a]$ with $|c(\bigcup_{i \in \omega} Z_i)| = 1$ and (by choice of \mathcal{M}^1) there exists $M \in \mathcal{M}$ with $M \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \omega} Z_i$.

REFERENCES

1. B. DESCARTES, Solution to advanced problem Nr. 4526, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **61** (1954), 352.
2. P. ERDÖS, Graph theory and probability, *Canad. J. Math.* **11** (1959), 34–38.
3. P. ERDÖS AND A. HAJNAL, On chromatic numbers of graphs and set systems, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **17** (1966), 61–99.
4. L. LOVÁSZ, On chromatic number of finite set systems, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **19** (1968), 59–67.
5. J. NEŠETŘIL, k -Chromatic graphs without cycles of length ≤ 7 , *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **7** (1966), 373–376 (Russian).
6. J. NEŠETŘIL AND V. RÖDL, Partitions of finite relational and set systems, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A* **22** (1977), 289–312.
7. J. NEŠETŘIL AND V. RÖDL, Ramsey properties of finite lattices, to appear.
8. H. WALTHER AND H.-J. VOSS, “Über Kreise in Graphen,” VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1974.
9. A. A. ZYKOV, On some properties of linear complexes, *Mat. Sb.* **24** (66) (1949), 163–188 (Russian).